UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/600,790	06/20/2003	Richard Joseph Fagan	C&R-117	8260
	7590 04/22/200 K LLOYD & SALIW	EXAMINER		
	NAL ASSOCIATION	HISSONG, BRUCE D		
	E, FL 32614-2950		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1646	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/600,790	FAGAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	

	Bruce D. Hissong, Ph.D.	1646	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 December 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth hter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	Called
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below			
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s). Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 		timely filed amendmer	it canceling the
non-allowable claim(s). 7. ☑ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [انید کا استال میں استعمال معامل التعمال التعمال التعمال التعمال التعمال التعمال التعمال التعمال التعمال التعما	l be entered and an ex	valonation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		r be entered and an ex	кріапаціон от
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,6-8 and 51-62</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Robert Landsman/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1647	

Continuation of 13. Other: Claims 1, 6-8, and 51-62 remain rejected under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, regarding lack of enablement for all possible fragments of SEQ ID NO: 2 having anti-viral activity, anti-proliferative activity, and/or the ability to increase levels of TNF-a, IL-2, IL-4, iFN-g, ASAT, or ALT. The claims also remain rejected under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, as lacking written description for the genus of SEQ ID NO: 2 fragments capable of mediating these activities. In the response received on 12/27/2007, the Applicants argue that the specification teaches how to make and use fragments of the claimed polypeptide (SEQ ID NO: 2), and provides examples of polypeptides having less than 100% identity to SEQ ID NO: 2, and therefore the specification is enabling for fragments of SEQ ID NO: 2, and provides adequate description of the genus of SEQ ID NO: 2 fragmens. These arguments have been fully considered and are not persuasive. Because a fragment can be as little as a few amino acids, independent claims 1, 53, 55, and 61 are drawn to a large number of potential peptide "fragments" of SEQ ID NO: 2. The specificaiton does not provide guidance or examples showing which amino acid residues or regions of SEQ ID NO: 2 can function alone as a "fragment" capable of mediating the claimed biological activities, and thus the specification also does not describe the necessary sequence/structural features required of such fragments. For these reasons, the specification is not enablement for the full breadth of independent claims 1, 53, 55, and 61 regarding fragments of SEQ ID NO: 2, and has not adequately described the genus of SEQ ID NO: 2 fragments capable of mediating the claimed activities. Claims 6-8, 51-52, 54, and 56-62 are rejected for depending from rejected base claims.